IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS

In re Patent Application of KURDZIEL ET AL.	;	
Serial No. 10/780,848 Filing Date: FEBRUARY 18, 20	•	Examiner: A. NOBAHAR
Confirmation No. 2513)	Art Unit: 2132
For: CRYPTOGRAPHIC DEVICE AN ASSOCIATED METHODS		Attorney Docket No. RF-235 (50589)

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

MS AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Responsive to the final Office Action of April 16, 2008, and in connection with the Notice of Appeal filed concurrently herewith, please consider the remarks set out below.

REMARKS

Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the thorough examination of the present application, and for the courtesies extended during the telephone interview on October 7, 2008. The telephone interview focused on the "diffuser" as recited in the independent claims. The Examiner clarified that the "diffuser" in the cited prior art reference of Kanda et al. corresponds to the combining part 346 as illustrated in FIG. 5. The arguments supporting patentability of the claims are provided below.

I. The Claimed Invention

The present invention, as recited in independent Claim 1, for example, is directed to a cryptographic device comprising

KURDZIEL ET AL.

Serial No: 10/780,848

Filing Date: FEBRUARY 18, 2004

an input stage, and an intermediate stage connected to the input stage. The input stage receives an input data block and a key data block comprising a plurality of sub-key data blocks, and generates a plurality of first signals therefrom that are in parallel. The intermediate stage comprises a plurality of substitution units operating in parallel, each substituting data within a respective first signal. A diffuser is connected to the plurality of substitution units for mixing data to generate a diffused signal. The diffuser comprises at least one shift register and at least one look-up table associated therewith. An output stage is connected to the intermediate stage for repetitively looping back the diffused signal to the input stage for combination with a next sub-key data block.

Independent Claim 10 is directed to a communication system comprising a key scheduler and a cryptographic device connected to the key scheduler, and is similar to independent Claim 1.

Independent Claim 18 is directed to a method for converting an input data block into an output signal in a cryptographic device, and is similar to independent Claim 1.

II. The Claims Are Patentable

The Examiner rejected independent Claims 1, 10 and 18 over the Kanda et al. patent. Kanda et al. is directed to a data transformation device for use in an encryption device of a secret key encryption algorithm that encrypts or decrypts data blocks using a secret key.

The Examiner referenced FIGS. 1, 2, 4 and 5 in Kanda et al. as disclosing the claimed invention. The Examiner characterized the input stage 17 illustrated in FIG. 2 as generating a plurality of first signals that are in parallel.

KURDZIEL ET AL.

Serial No: 10/780,848

Filing Date: FEBRUARY 18, 2004

Still referring to FIG. 2, the plurality of substitution units operating in parallel (S-boxes $\mathbf{S}_0-\mathbf{S}_7$) is characterized as the intermediate stage, with each S-box substituting data within a respective first signal. The Examiner characterized block 346 illustrated in FIG. 5 as the diffuser connected to the plurality of substitution units $\mathbf{S}_0-\mathbf{S}_7$ for mixing data to generate a diffused signal.

The Examiner characterized FIG. 4 in Kanda et al. as disclosing an output stage connected to the intermediate stage for repetitively looping back the diffused signal to the input stage for combination with a next sub-key data block. In particular, the Examiner characterized that each of the round processing 38_0-38_{N-1} provides an output to the next one of the processing parts for combining with another subkey data block. The Examiner characterized that the round processing 38_0-38_{N-1} corresponds to the repetitively looping back.

In the claimed invention, the diffuser comprises <u>at</u> least one shift register and at least one look-up table <u>associated therewith</u>. The Examiner stated in the Advisory Action that Kanda et al. discloses an apparatus that performs logical linear transformation (which corresponds to the shift register) using substitution boxes and the linear transformation parts are constructed in memory as transformation tables (which corresponds to the look-up table).

The Examiner is correct to note that Kanda et al. discloses shift registers and look-up tables, but this is with respect to non-linear transformations. In particular, the non-linear transformation part 343_0-343_3 and the non-linear transformation part 345_0-345_3 as illustrated in FIG. 5 of Kanda et al. all include shift registers and look-up tables (i.e., transformation tables).

KURDZIEL ET AL.

Serial No: 10/780,848

Filing Date: FEBRUARY 18, 2004

As discussed during the telephone interview, the Examiner clarified that the combining part 346 as illustrated in FIG. 5 is the diffuser. As also discussed during the interview, Kanda et al. fails to disclose that the combining part 346 includes a shift register and a look-up table for mixing data to generate a diffused signal. Instead, the combining part 346 merely combines the outputs from the non-linear transformation part 3450-3453 without mixing the outputs therefrom. Reference is directed to column 14 of Kanda et al., which provides:

"As depicted in FIG. 5, these pieces of data mid_{10} , mid_{11} , mid_{12} and mid_{13} are then nonlinearly transformed in the nonlinear transformation parts 3450, 3451, 3452 and 3453 into the data out₀, out₁, out₂ and out₃, respectively, which are combined into the single piece of data Yi * in the combining part 346. Finally, the data Y_i * is linearly transformed into the data Yi by, for example, a k_{i2} - bit left rotation in the third keydependent linear transformation part 347 using the key data k_{i2}, thereby generating the output data Y_i from the nonlinear function part 304. The nonlinear transformation parts 3430 to 3433 and 3450 to 3453 function just like S-boxes for DES cipher, and they are constructed by, for example, ROM, which receives input data as an address to read out therefrom the corresponding data." (Emphasis added).

As highlighted above, elements 345_0-345_3 non-linearly transform the respective data. Kanda et al. discloses that the non-linear transformation parts 345_0-345_3 function as S-boxes, as also highlighted above. The combining part 346 merely combines the outputs from the non-linear transformation part 345_0-345_3 without mixing the outputs therefrom.

KURDZIEL ET AL.

Serial No: 10/780,848

Filing Date: FEBRUARY 18, 2004

Accordingly, it is submitted that independent Claim 1 is patentable over Kanda et al. Independent Claims 10 and 18 are similar to independent Claim 1. Therefore, it is submitted that these claims are also patentable over Kanda et al.

In view of the patentability of independent Claims 1, 10 and 18, it is submitted that the dependent claims, which include yet further distinguishing features of the invention are also patentable. These dependent claims need no further discussion herein.

III. CONCLUSION

In view of the arguments provided herein, it is submitted that all the claims are patentable. Accordingly, a Notice of Allowance is requested in due course. Should any minor informalities need to be addressed, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL W. TAYLOR

Reg. No. 43,182

Allen, Dyer, Doppelt, Milbrath

& Gilchrist, P.A.

Muchel W. Josh

255 S. Orange Avenue, Suite 1401 Post Office Box 3791

Orlando, Florida 32802 Telephone: 407/841-2330

Fax: 407/841-2343

Attorney for Appellant